UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,212	07/08/2003	Rikuro Obara	110007.01	7316
25944 7590 12/27/2006 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			EXAMINER	
			TAOUSAKIS, ALEXANDER P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3726	_
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/614,212	OBARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Alexander P. Taousakis	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>N.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
·— ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 September 2005</u> .					
· / <b>-</b>	·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 9-15</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5 and 9-15</u> is/are objected to.	r cleation requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the definited copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/614,212

Art Unit: 3726

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9 lines 5-6, the applicant discloses the various processes "had better be sequentially performed in a clean room". The use of "had better be" is ambiguous, and it is suggested applicant change this phrase to make it more explicit, e.g. by changing "had better be" to —are—.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 9-15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5: In line 2, "said ball bearing" should be -said ball bearings--.

In line 3, "opened" should be -open--.

Claim 11: In line 2, "outer" should be --inner--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 9-15 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/614,212

Art Unit: 3726

The method for manufacturing spindle motors typically involves assembly of the individual components, while keeping the disk drives free from contaminants. The claimed subject matter is allowable because the prior art fails to teach a method of manufacturing a spindle motor, including a bearing washing process, a bearing grease filling process, and a bearing mounting process where these processes are performed sequentially in an assembly process under a clean environment. The applicant's invention reduces the manufacturing cost of the spindle motor because the spindle motor and the ball bearing assembly can be assembled simultaneously.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See the objections noted above..

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,212

Art Unit: 3726

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander P. Taousakis whose telephones number is (571) 272 – 3497. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephones are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached at (571) 272- 4526.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published publication may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about he PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander P. Taousakis

Examiner

Art Unit 3726

apt

12/19/2006

DAVID P. BRYANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12/19/06